which are the most important things for the plaintiff to know. It is prayed that in future clients be given copies of such notes.

Reply of Government.—The Chief Court will be asked to see if copies of orders of adjournments and of such other matters may not be granted.

SUBJECT No. 42.

Process establishment.

Mr. H. Krishnappa, Law Association, Bangalore.

Summary of discussion.—Separate process establishment may be given to the Subordinate Judges' Courts.

Reply of Government.—Will be sent to the Chief Court for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 43.

The Sheristadars or Head Munshis to swear applicants and sign affidavits.

Messrs. M. L. Narasimhachar, Hassan District Board, Kodandarama Setti, Suryanarayana Rao, and Nanjappa, Tumkur taluk, and S. R. Balakrishna Rao and K. Sankaranarayana Rao, Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The ministerial officers of civil courts such as Sheristadars and Head Munshis are authorised to administer oath and sign affidavits, when statements are made by plaintiffs to order substituted services only. But in these courts there are so many facts which are allowed to be proved by affidavits under Order XIX of the Civil Procedure Code, for example, (1) affidavit swearing to his claim by plaintiff when defendant is *ex-parte*, (2) when a party applies to issue a commission to examine a witness or accounts or to inspect a land in dispute, (3) when a plaintiff applies to the court to appoint *ad libitum* guardian to a minor defendant, (4) when a party applies to send for records, and (5) when a party makes an application for discovery or inspection of a document, and in very many other cases the parties are under the necessity to state facts by means of affidavits. In such cases, the parties will have to get their affidavits sworn and signed only before judicial courts. To do so, they may have to wait long. It is prayed that the ministerial officers of civil courts may be authorized to swear the applicant and sign all affidavits without restriction or limitation.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 44.

Execution of the decrees of the Mysore civil courts in the Bombay Presidency.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga Municipality

Summary of discussion.—The civil courts of Bombay have been refusing to execute the decrees of the Mysore civil courts. It is requested that the Government of Bombay or the High Court of Judicature may be moved to issue instructions to their civil district and subordinate courts to execute the decrees of the Mysore civil courts as if they were the decrees of their own courts. The civil courts of Madras Presidency have been executing the decrees of the Mysore civil courts without any objection.

Reply of Government.—Withdrawn as it was explained that civil courts in the Bombay Presidency can execute decrees of Mysore civil courts.